

# College of Micronesia – FSM

## Report of the President's Retreat 2007



Office of Institutional Research & Planning

<b>College of Micronesia - FSM</b> <b>Report of the President's Retreat 2007</b>
---

*Background*

The college hosted over 115 participants in the 2007 President's Retreat held at the FSM China Friendship Sports Center from March 19 - 23, 2007. The participants included representative of all six college campuses plus key national and state stakeholders. Three main questions were addressed at the Retreat:

- Where are we?
- Where are we going?
- How do we get there?

*President's welcoming remarks*

In his opening remarks President James stressed a number of key areas.

- Need to review data, evidence and trends affecting the college
- Engage our stakeholders in their views and expectations of the college
- Determine how the college programs and services can best assist economic and social development of the nation
- The college cannot work in isolation from its stakeholders
- While what happens in the Retreat is important, of greater importance is how we implement the recommendations and decisions of the Retreat and we communicate the results
- To be successful our students need
  - Foundation skills
    - Basic skills
    - Thinking skills
    - Personal qualities
  - Competencies (productively use)
    - Resources
    - Interpersonal skills
    - Information
    - Systems
    - Technology

*Retreat design*

The Retreat was designed to be highly interactive with presentations being used to set the stage for in-depth discussions. A key concept was to develop shared understanding of where the college is right now, what factors and trends are influencing the college and what are key issues and problems facing the college. The last stage of the Retreat addressed priority problems and challenges facing the college leading to action plans and implementation.

To assist with promoting discussion and dialogue participants were encouraged to use a series of simple tools.

- To help identify assumptions participants were recommended to separate what is *Known* "now" from what is *Unclear* and both from what is *Presumed* (by the person with the problem or his agent).
- To assist with problem identification participants were encouraged to ask - "Don't ask 'What's the problem,' ask 'What's the story?' That way you'll find out what the problem really is."
- To help better understand how systems affect the college participants were encouraged to ask - How did we (through our internal thinking, our processes, our practices, and our procedures) contribute to or create the circumstances (good and bad) we face now?
- To promote more proactive approaches to problem solutions participants were encouraged to ask two questions:
  - What possibilities for action exist?
  - What can we (I) do?

The Retreat also included discussion sessions that were directed at addressing specific issues:

- Staff senate - (who represents, how it is structured, should there be a single staff senate or one at each campus, etc.)
- Student body organization (same questions as above)
- Organizational chart (structure) evaluation
- What is the image of the college? What does the college want its image to be?
- Communication plan input

### *Where are we?*

Environmental scan presentations on internal and external factors affecting the college. Presentations were provided on:

#### **Internal to the college - Monday morning (March 19, 2007)**

- College data & Environment (Jimmy Hicks & IRPO staff)
- Teaching and Learning (Jean Thoulag, Benson Moses & Grilly Jack)
- Tutoring, Retention & student support (Ringlen Ringlen)
- Image of the college (Joseph Saimon)
- Role of CRE (Jim Currie)
- Infrastructure (Francisco Mendiola)
- Available financial & support resources (Penselyn Etse, Faustino Yarofaisug)
- Human Resources (Rencelly Nelson)
- Funding trends (Danny Dumantay)
- Technology trends (Gordon Segal)

#### **External (Within FSM) Monday afternoon (March 19, 2007)**

- Economics (Charles Musana)
- Political (Spensin James & John Haglegam)
- World Park (Howard Rice)
- Migration & Demographics (Jimmy Hicks)

- Data on FSM Education System (Burnis Danis, FSM NDOE)
- FSM State Issues (Panel - Jojo Peter, Kalwin Kephas, Penny Weillbacher, Lourdes Roboman, Matthias Ewarmai)

**External (Outside FSM) Tuesday Morning (March 20, 2007)**

- Accreditation (Joseph Saimon, Sue Moses)
- Reauthorization of Higher Education Act (Ringlen Ringlen, Jim Currie, Dayle Dannis)
- Comparison of college with peer institutions (William Mailpiy, Willy Edwin & Jimmy Hicks)

Presentations were to provide data and evidence related to internal and external factors affecting the college. Presenters were requested not to present solutions at this stage of the Retreat.

*Concurrent sessions*

A series of concurrent sessions were held to review the environmental scan presentations and develop a shared understanding of the current status of the college. The concurrent sessions for Where are we?

Session 1 Concurrent sessions

1. How do trends in teaching and learning impact the college? (2 groups)
2. How do trends in tutoring, retention & student support affect the college? (2 groups)
3. What is the attitude of the college? (What stories do we tell each other?) (2 groups)

Session 2 Concurrent sessions

4. How does accreditation impact the college?
5. How is the new organizational structure affecting the college? 2 groups (1 - focus on IC & SSC; 2<sup>nd</sup> general)
6. How do we interact with the FSM Education Sector (elementary & secondary; public & private)?
7. What are factors affecting quality of incoming and outgoing students?
8. What is the role and current level of assessment activities at the college? (course, program & institutional)

Session 3 Concurrent sessions

9. How do different stakeholders view the college? (National & state leaders, students, parents, employers, etc.) (2 groups)
10. Where are we in terms of equity of facilities and development of the facilities master plan?
11. Understanding the World Park concept and how it can assist the college with development of the FSM and meeting the college’s mission?
12. Understanding CRE’s role in assisting the college in development of the FSM and meeting the college’s mission?

Session 4 - Retreat wide activities

13. Campus roles and relationships (2 groups)
14. What steps is the college taking to meet its mission? (2 groups)
15. SWOT (Strengths, Weaknesses, Opportunities & Threats) exercise for the college (2 groups)

Two different sections conducted SWOT exercise. The results of those SWOTS are included in appendix B.

*Where are we going?*

*Prioritize issues & challenges*

Based on the results of the sessions (Where are we?) sessions were conducted to answer the question of (Where are we going?). Of specific concern was to identify prioritized problem

statements to guide college improvement planning. The exercises resulted in the five problem statements found in the following section.

*How do we get there?*

Based on the identification of prioritized problem statements, sessions were conducted to identify action strategies to address the major problems facing the college. Following are the problem statements as identified in the where are we going sessions and the action strategies in the how to we get there sessions.

*Problem statements and strategies to address those problems*

1. **There is insufficient dialogue and information exchange between external stakeholders and the college in regard to economic and social development needs, program development, service delivery and funding for students and the college.** The college needs to:
  - a. Improve dialogue and information exchange between the College and stakeholders pertaining to funding for students and the college.
  - b. Improve dialogue and information exchange between the College and stakeholders pertaining to the delivery of College services.
  - c. Improved communication and exchange of information between stakeholders and the college for program development/improvement.
  - d. Improve dialogue and information exchange between the College and stakeholders pertaining to College programs and services related to economic growth and social development.
2. **Inadequate development, understanding and application of quality standards for an effective student centered learning environment.** The college needs to:
  - a. Enhance/develop and implement quality standards throughout the system in all areas.
  - b. Improve understanding of standards
  - c. Assess/use results as basis for decision making
3. **Governance processes including development, implementation and evaluation do not include all necessary internal and external stakeholders.** The college needs to:
  - a. Develop implementation plan for Communication Policy
  - b. Develop implementation plan for Governance Policy
4. **The academic level of the majority of incoming students is inadequate to meet college level standards.** The college needs to:
  - a. Improve dialogue and communication between NDOE, SDOEs and the college to improve K-12 & 13 educational outcome
  - b. Promote the value of education among all stakeholders
  - c. Incorporate with K12 in designing and implementing to raise the awareness and importance of vocational education/technical programs
5. **The success and retention rate of students at the college is less than 40%.** The college needs to:
  - a. Enhance working relations with K-12 to bridge the gap for students entering college (increase the number and/or percent of degree students entering the college, reduce the number and/or percent of under prepared students entering the college, provide realistic expectations to students entering the college, provide supplemental course and programs, etc.)

- b. Increase quality and effectiveness of remedial programs at the college.
- c. Promote programs and services (retention plan, increased tutoring, improved advising, mentoring etc.) that increase student success and retention within the College
- d. Improve quality control in student services College wide.
- e. Improve quality control in administrative services College wide.
- f. Ensure consistency and quality of instructional services College wide
- g. Improve facilities and technology availability and accessibility.
- h. Develop and implement an institutional assessment plan.
- i. Improve the image of the College

*Follow up and implementation*

Follow up on the Retreat has already stated with presentations to the BOR in its March 2007 meeting in Chuuk and training/briefing/discussions with Chuuk campus faculty, staff and students on the Retreat and immediate implement of key strategies including a communications plan to implement the communications policy adopted by the Broad and implementation of the Shared Governance Policy.

The following page provides a cross reference for implementation of the problem statements and action strategies with interventions that the college will or is taking in addressing the concerns of the Retreat. The college is taking immediate action to address the recommendations of the Retreat.

The problems statements are also being reviewed to determine if changes are needed to the strategic plan objectives and strategies.

For more information including copies of presentations, working sessions and results/recommendations of the Retreat does not hesitate to contact the Office of the President, Vice President for Administration and Office of Institutional Research and Planning.

**President's Retreat 2007 – Problem statements, action strategies & interventions**

Problem statement & action strategies	Interventions
<p>6. <b>There is insufficient dialogue and information exchange between external stakeholders and the college in regard to economic and social development needs, program development, service delivery and funding for students and the college.</b> The college needs to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Improve dialogue and information exchange between the College and stakeholders pertaining to funding for students and the college.</li> <li>b. Improve dialogue and information exchange between the College and stakeholders pertaining to the delivery of College services.</li> <li>c. Improved communication and exchange of information between stakeholders and the college for program development/improvement.</li> <li>d. Improve dialogue and information exchange between the College and stakeholders pertaining to College programs and services related to economic growth and social development.</li> </ul>	<p>1a – d: Incorporate into development of the college's communication plan.</p>
<p>7. <b>Inadequate development, understanding and application of quality standards for an effective student centered learning environment.</b> The college needs to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Enhance/develop and implement quality standards throughout the system in all areas.</li> <li>b. Improve understanding of standards</li> <li>c. Assess/use results as basis for decision making</li> </ul>	<p>2a &amp; 2c: Incorporate action strategies into development of the i) institutional assessment plan (IAP) (set up a process for quality standards), ii) improvement of reporting, iii) key indicators of the strategic plan iv) governance structure &amp; v) enrollment management indicators. 2b: Include in training of above items.</p>
<p>8. <b>Governance processes including development, implementation and evaluation do not include all necessary internal and external stakeholders.</b> The college needs to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Develop implementation plan for Communication Policy</li> <li>b. Develop implementation plan for Shared Governance Policy</li> </ul>	<p>3a: Communications plan development 3b: Governance policy implementation</p>
<p>9. <b>The academic level of the majority of incoming students is inadequate to meet college level standards.</b> The college needs to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Improve dialogue and communication between NDOE, SDOEs and the college to improve K-12 &amp; 13 educational outcome</li> <li>b. Promote the value of education among all stakeholders</li> <li>c. Collaborate with K12 in designing and implementing a plan to raise the awareness and importance of vocational education/technical programs</li> </ul>	<p>4a &amp; 4b: Incorporate into development of communications plan &amp; reporting of results on i)COMET, ii) IAP results &amp; iii) TRIO 4c: Incorporate into development of i) communications plan and ii) retention (&amp; recruitment) plan</p>
<p>10. <b>The success and retention rate of students at the college is less than 40%.</b> The college needs to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Enhance working relations with K-12 to bridge the gap for students entering college (increase the number and/or per cent of degree students entering the college, reduce the number and/or per cent of under prepared students entering the college, provide realistic expectations to students entering the college, provide supplemental course and programs, etc.)</li> <li>b. Increase quality and effectiveness of remedial programs at the college.</li> <li>c. Promote programs and services (retention plan, increased tutoring, improved advising, mentoring etc.) that increase student success and retention within the College</li> <li>d. Improve quality control in student services College wide.</li> <li>e. Improve quality control in administrative services College wide.</li> <li>f. Ensure consistency and quality of instructional services College wide</li> <li>g. Improve facilities and technology availability and accessibility.</li> <li>h. Develop and implement an institutional assessment plan.</li> <li>i. Improve the image of the College</li> </ul>	<p>5a: Incorporate into development of communications plan 5b: Incorporate into development of the i) IAP, ii) retention (&amp; recruitment) plan, &amp; iii) increase training for remedial instruction and support services 5c: Develop a retention (&amp; recruitment) plan 5d, 5e, 5f: Same as problem statement 2 above 5g: Incorporate into revision, improvement and expansion of enrollment management indicators, and facilities master plan 5h: IAP 5i: Develop a plan that indicates how each part of the college contributes to its image</p>

Appendix A – President’s Retreat Agenda Layout

	<b>Monday</b>	<b>Tuesday</b>	<b>Wednesday</b>	<b>Thursday</b>	<b>Friday</b>
<b>Morning</b> 8:00 – 9:00 AM Refreshments 9:00 AM - Noon	Welcoming Environmental scan presentations on trends impacting the college	Environmental scan presentations on trends impacting the college	Where are we?	Where are we going?	How do we get there?
<b>Afternoon</b> 1:30 PM – 5:00 PM	Environmental scan presentations on trends impacting the college	Where are we?	Where are we going?	Where are we going? /How do we get there?	Reception 6:00 PM Nantehlik (PCR)



Appendix B – Strengths, Weaknesses, Opportunities & Threats (SWOT)

College of Micronesia – FSM  
President’s Retreat 2007  
Group 1

SWOT Analysis Tool

<b>Strengths:</b>	<b>Weaknesses:</b>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Cultural diversity</li> <li>• Sole higher educational institution</li> <li>• Team work , multi-tasking and dedicated personnel</li> <li>• Community relations, open communications and good sense of direction</li> <li>• Variety of services and affordability</li> <li>• Staff development and Benefits</li> <li>• Excellent leadership</li> <li>• Proximity of services</li> <li>• Facilities and backup generator</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Funding and Sense of autonomy</li> <li>• Geographical location and transportation</li> <li>• Inconsistency of policy implementation and administrative advocacy</li> <li>• Insufficient facilities and technology accessibility</li> <li>• Recruitment: Delayed hiring practices and fast turnover of staff</li> <li>• Lack of educational tools</li> <li>• Duplication of efforts and resources</li> </ul>
<b>Opportunities:</b>	<b>Threats:</b>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Accreditation and credibility enhances the image as well the opportunity for \$\$\$</li> <li>• Community relations/social development, world park/global standing and connections</li> <li>• Staff development to improve expertise</li> <li>• Deeper appreciation of cultural diversity and center of Excellence in Micronesian studies</li> <li>• Scientific exploration and research center, expand partnerships</li> <li>• 4-year institution</li> <li>• Distance education</li> <li>• Capability to provide manpower</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Accreditation, funding, poor economy</li> <li>• Community retaliation, culture, parents</li> <li>• Competition; University of South Pacific</li> <li>• Politics</li> <li>• Undisciplined students and under prepared students</li> <li>• Poor health, drug/alcohol/tobacco etc.</li> <li>• Poor morality, insufficient security/background check</li> </ul>

<b>Session #:</b>	15
<b>Session Title:</b>	SWOT Analysis of the College
<b>Group #:</b>	1

College of Micronesia – FSM President’s Retreat 2007

College of Micronesia – FSM - President’s Retreat 2007 Group 2

<p><b>Strengths:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Being the sole national college</li> <li>• Having unique cultural mixture</li> <li>• Having CRE</li> <li>• Economic and social development</li> <li>• Decent facilities and staff</li> <li>• Refund</li> <li>• Financially stable</li> <li>• Accessible to clients and stakeholders, presence in all fsm states, Respond to local student(s) needs, proximity.</li> <li>• World Park is coming soon</li> <li>• COM-FSM has a diverse staff/faculty</li> <li>• Friendship center/complex</li> <li>• Able to receive grants from different countries</li> <li>• In a position to respond to national development plan, to address their priorities: tourism, agriculture, apprenticeship, vocational programs</li> <li>• Strive to improve, assessment, transparency,</li> <li>• Being supported by national govt.</li> <li>• Excellent LRC/media center/collections</li> <li>• Proximity to COM Land Grant Office</li> <li>• Articulation that has been established w/ other institutions.</li> <li>• Modern Technology available.</li> <li>• Expandable National campus, room to grow for facilities/programs/enrollment.</li> <li>• Affordable Tuition</li> <li>• Partnerships and collaboration with NGO’s.</li> <li>• Student exchange programs</li> </ul>	<p><b>Weaknesses:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Depend on tuition generated income, 60%</li> <li>• Not responsive to K – 12 gap situation</li> <li>• Cannot attract highest qualified personnel due to low salary, especially faculty.</li> <li>• Excess resources required to address students w/ academic deficiencies.</li> <li>• Remedial developmental programs not as effective as expected.</li> <li>• Vocational programs seen as dumping grounds for under-prepared students</li> <li>• Poor/insufficient facilities at state campuses.</li> <li>• Lack of Job placement program for students</li> <li>• Poor student recruitment process</li> <li>• Land grant/CRE extension given too low a priority.</li> <li>• State campuses rely too much on part time instructors.</li> <li>• Transfer of credits to other universities</li> <li>• Lack of campus spiritual ministry</li> <li>• States feels College is responsibility of National govt., Funding, Land etc.</li> <li>• High drop out/non-completion rate</li> <li>• Not having an automated student records system across all campuses, difficulty getting transcripts, etc.</li> <li>• Distance/inadequate transportation create challenges w/ original document exchange.</li> <li>• Limited academic and other programs</li> <li>• Not enough tutorial/mentoring; no list for deficient students</li> <li>• Not responsive enough to people w/ disabilities.</li> <li>• Lack of program assessment data from stakeholders.</li> <li>• Limited/no programs for FSM languages.</li> <li>• Limited implementation of ELS, international English, etc. approaches to English instruction</li> <li>• Inefficient technology &amp; poor performance</li> </ul>
<p><b>Opportunities:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Staff Development</li> <li>• Can be an agent in economic development for FSM</li> <li>• Opportunity to become a 4 year institution and beyond.</li> <li>• To address work force training; adult education, existing workforce</li> <li>• Partnerships with other institutes higher education to deliver programs collaboratively</li> <li>• Distance education</li> <li>• Presidents retreat</li> <li>• Support from other govt.(s) and international organizations</li> <li>• Cultivate unity among all the FSM states, foster national identity.</li> <li>• Partnerships w/ private sector interest.</li> <li>• Stewardship of unique languages, culture and traditions</li> <li>• Bridge the gap (k – 12) for college ready students.</li> <li>• World Park</li> <li>• Improve the tutoring program</li> <li>• Supplemental programs at high school level, e.g. English speaking/writing course, peer tutoring (college/high school)</li> <li>• Single-Degree 4 year program, under a separate accreditation authority; e.g. bachelor degree in education to address national priority. If we don’t fill the need, someone else will.</li> <li>• Land Grant PhD staff as adjunct faculty</li> <li>• Provide high quality FSM languages program</li> </ul>	<p><b>Threats:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Political interference / loss of confidence</li> <li>• Refund checks considered source of income by families, money not used for school.</li> <li>• Economic decline, compact step-down</li> <li>• Natural Disasters</li> <li>• Pell / US grants vulnerable to US congress, reauthorization of postsecondary education act.</li> <li>• Competition from other institutions; e.g. USP, US Colleges,</li> <li>• Spending too much on remedial education</li> <li>• Not spending enough on remedial education</li> <li>• Too much emphasis on English proficiency prolongs students enrollment in degree program</li> <li>• Unreliable infrastructure</li> <li>• Off island procurement system very complicated, expensive</li> <li>• Attention to detail</li> <li>• Trying to do too much w/ limited resources, reduplication of efforts; spread too thin</li> <li>• Employee settle for mediocrity</li> <li>• Lack of involvement in finding solutions by some of the college community</li> </ul>

## *President's Retreat 2007 Participants*

<i>Agency</i>	<i>Title</i>	<i>First Name Last Name</i>
College of Micronesia	Executive Director	Singeru Singeo
COM-FSM	Administrative Specialist IRPO	Willy Edwin
COM-FSM	Instructor	Dana Lee Ling
COM-FSM	Instructor	Benson Moses
COM-FSM	Coordinator College Work Study	Faustino Yarofaisug
COM-FSM	Secretary	Guly Alex
COM-FSM	Director IRPO	Jimmy Hicks
COM-FSM	Secretary	Lerihna Lebehn
COM-FSM	Research Specialist IRPO	William Mailpiy
COM-FSM	Construction Supervisor	Alfred Olter
COM-FSM	Instructor	Koisimy Rudolph
COM-FSM	Instructor	Magdalena Hallers
COM-FSM	Instructor	Sylvia Henry
COM-FSM	Counselor	Lucy Donre
COM-FSM	Student Services Aide	Bollie Ludwig
COM-FSM	Sponsored Programs Facilitator	Dayle Dannis
COM-FSM	Student Services Assistant	Partricio Ramirez
COM-FSM	Student Services Specialist	Patrick Werthog
COM-FSM	Student Services Specialist	Arinda Swingly
COM-FSM	Coordinator Peer Counseling Center	Ermine Walliby
COM-FSM	College Nurse	Benina Ilon
COM-FSM	Director SSSP	Morehna Rettin-Santos
COM-FSM	Tutor SSSP	Tataake Yeeting
COM-FSM	Instructor	Joe Habuchimai
COM-FSM	Director of Campus Life	James Yangelou
COM-FSM	Counselor	Penselynn Etse
COM-FSM	Registrar	Joey Oducado
COM-FSM	Chair Micronesian Studies	Mariana Ben Dereas

College of Micronesia – FSM President’s Retreat 2007

<i>Agency</i>	<i>Title</i>	<i>First Name Last Name</i>
COM-FSM	Chair Exercise Sports Science	Rachel Hollinsworth
COM-FSM	Counselor	Henry Wilson
COM-FSM	Chair Business Division	Joe Felix
COM-FSM	Secretary	Myrinda Mori
COM-FSM	Student Activities Specialist	Castro Joab
COM-FSM	Vice President for Administration	Charles Musana
COM-FSM	Vice President Student Services	Ringlen Ringlen
COM-FSM	CRE Agent	Engly Ioanis
COM-FSM	Associate Professor, Researcher-LG	Flordeliza Javier
COM-FSM	Assistant Director for LG	Jackson Phillip
COM-FSM	Secretary	Anmerihter Thozes
COM-FSM	Bookstore Manager	Martin Mingii
COM-FSM	Vice President for Instructional Affairs	Jean Thoulag
COM-FSM	Student Services Assistant	Ambelly Jacob
COM-FSM	Student Services Assistant	Iansin Johnson
COM-FSM	Dorm Manager	Johnson Sepeti
COM-FSM	Director for DCR	Joseph Saimon
COM-FSM	President	Spensin James
COM-FSM	Counselor	Lore Nena
COM-FSM	Student Services Specialist	Akiko William
COM-FSM	Comptroller	Danny Dumantay
COM-FSM	Director OAR	Arlene Dumantay
COM-FSM	Peer Educator, Peer Counseling	Mike Ioanis
COM-FSM	Clerk, OAR	Marion Luke
COM-FSM	Director Maintenance	Francisco Mendiola
COM-FSM	Coordinator Security	Warren Ching
COM-FSM	Data Processing Assistant	Arbel Ben
COM-FSM	Professor, Education	Susan Moses
COM-FSM Chuuk Campus	Counselor	Marcello Ham
COM-FSM Chuuk Campus	Instructor	Roger Arnold
COM-FSM Chuuk Campus	Student Services Coordinator	Switer Eter

College of Micronesia – FSM President’s Retreat 2007

<i>Agency</i>	<i>Title</i>	<i>First Name Last Name</i>
COM-FSM Chuuk Campus	Director	Joakim Peter
COM-FSM Chuuk Campus CRE	Assistant State Campus Director-LG	Ansina Kony
COM-FSM CRE	Vice President for CRE	Jim Currie
COM-FSM Kosrae Campus	Student Services Coordinator	Willer Benjamin
COM-FSM Kosrae Campus	Director	Kalwin Kephass
COM-FSM Kosrae Campus	Instructional Coordinator	Nena Mike
COM-FSM Kosrae Campus CRE	Researcher.	Virendra Verma
COM-FSM LRC	Director	Sue Caldwell
COM-FSM LRC	Librarian	Bruce Robert
COM-FSM Pohnpei Campus	Director Upward Bound Program	Churchill Edward
COM-FSM Pohnpei Campus	Specialist SS & Recreation	Edwin Sione
COM-FSM Pohnpei Campus	Director	Penny Weilbacher
COM-FSM Pohnpei Campus	Fiscal Officer	Twyla Poll
COM-FSM Pohnpei Campus	Maintenance Supervisor	Tim Franklin
COM-FSM Pohnpei Campus	Coordinator SBDC	Herman Semes
COM-FSM Pohnpei Campus	Contract Specialist	Merins Race
COM-FSM Pohnpei Campus	Coordinator Student Services	Jeff Arnold
COM-FSM Pohnpei Campus	Director	Penny Weilbacher
COM-FSM Pohnpei Campus	Counselor	Cynthia Edwin
COM-FSM Pohnpei Campus	Campus Nurse	Marlou Gorospo
COM-FSM Pohnpei Campus	Secretary Student Services	Yoneko Kanichy
COM-FSM Pohnpei Campus	Counselor Student Services	Stanley Etse
COM-FSM Pohnpei Campus	Acting Director Talent Search	Rita Hadley
COM-FSM Pohnpei Campus	Instructor - Math	Evelyn Tadena
COM-FSM Pohnpei Campus	Instructor - English	Shirley Jano
COM-FSM Pohnpei Campus	Instructor - Vocational Education	Gardner Edgar
COM-FSM Pohnpei Campus	Assistant Professor	Howard Rice
COM-FSM Pohnpei Campus	Associate Professor	Phyllis Silbanuz
COM-FSM Pohnpei Campus	Instructional Coordinator	Maria Dison
COM-FSM Pohnpei Campus	Specialist Student Services	Rita Hinga

College of Micronesia – FSM President’s Retreat 2007

<i>Agency</i>	<i>Title</i>	<i>First Name Last Name</i>
COM-FSM Yap Campus	Instructional Coordinator	Gilmoon
COM-FSM Yap Campus	Director	Lourdes Roboman
COM-FSM Yap Campus CRE	Researcher	Murukesan Krishnapillai
Embassy of the USA	OIA Field Officer - DOI	J. Victor Hobson Jr.
FSM FMI	Director	Matthias Ewarmai
FSM FMI	Vocational Instructor	Valerio Ken
FSM FMI	SS Specialist, Registrar	Santus Sarongelfeg
FSM FMI	Instructional Coordinator	Marcelino Jibemai
FSM Government	Deputy Secretary for HESA	Midion Neth
FSM Government	FSM TC & I	Rahman Tajmilur
FSM Government	Assessment & Evaluation Specialist	Burnis Danis
FSM Government	Project Manager, ADB BSS	Louise Simpson
FSM Government	Assistant Secretary for Education	Weldis Welly
FSM Government	Vocational Education	Jesse Sidney
FSM Government	EMIS	David Syne
FSM Telecom Corp.	Administrative Manager	Ludickson Edward
Kosrae State Legislature	Chairman for ED & Cultural Affairs	Jefferson Timothy
Pohnpei State Government	Curriculum Pohnpei DOE	Reynold Albert
Pohnpei State Legislature	Chairman for Committee on Education	Naiten Phillip

**College of Micronesia – FSM  
Mission, Values, Goals and Objectives**

**Mission Statement**

Historically diverse, uniquely Micronesian and globally connected, the College of Micronesia-FSM is a continuously improving and student centered institute of higher education. The college is committed to assisting in the development of the Federated States of Micronesia by providing academic, career and technical educational opportunities for student learning.

**Values**

Learner-centeredness, professional behavior, innovation, honesty and ethical behavior, commitment and hard work, teamwork and accountability

**Strategic Goals and objectives**

The College of Micronesia-FSM, through a cycle of assessment and review, will continuously improve to meet or exceed current accreditation standards and will:

**SPG1. Promote learning and teaching for knowledge, skills, creativity, intellect, and the abilities to seek and analyze information and to communicate effectively**

- a. Promote quality teaching and learning-centered behaviors and environments for the six campuses
- b. Make developmental course an institutional priority
- c. Enhance faculty involvement in the college

**SPG2. Provide institutional support to foster student success and satisfaction**

- a. Promote strategic enrollment management for the College Of Micronesia-FSM
- b. Become more student-centered in the development of specific college system policies and procedures
- c. Promote timely college tenure and graduation of students with mastery of array of core learning objectives, including civic-mindedness and self-value
- d. Develop a student-friendly campus environment that encourages and enables student to be health conscious

**SPG3. Create an adequate, healthy and functional learning and working environment**

- a. Provide for adequate facilities to support a learning community
- b. Provide for maintenance and upkeep of grounds, facilities and equipment
- c. Provide for a safe, secure and effective college environment

**SPG4. Foster effective communication**

- a. Enhance communications pathways
- b. Provide communications infrastructure to support communications pathways
- c. Enhance the college community’s ability to communicate effectively

**SPG5. Invest in sufficient, qualified, and effective human resources**

- a. Provide on-going professional development of faculty and staff
- b. Recruit and retain qualified personnel to allow delivery of quality services
- c. Update personnel policies and procedure to meet on-going human resource needs

**SPG6. Ensure sufficient and well-managed fiscal resources that maintain financial stability**

- a. Enhance new and existing revenue resources to promote growth and increase cost effectiveness
- b. Diversify resources of the college
- c. Budgeting and resource allocation
- d. Develop and implement college sustainability plans that will lead to the careful stewardship of natural and man-made resources, saving of revenue, and enhancement of the college experiences,; serves as a model for the nation

**SPG7. Build a partnering and service network for community, workforce and economic development**

- a. Increase involvement of the community in college affairs
- b. Enhance and promote employment opportunities
- c. Develop new and enhance existing programs to meet the changing educational and workforce needs of our communities
- d. Provide cooperative Extension Services to the community

**SPG8. Promote the uniqueness of our community, cultivate respect for individual differences and champion diversity**

- a. Increase community involvement in college affairs
- b. Cultivate respect for individual differences, and champion diversity

**SPG9. Provide for continuous improvement of programs, services and college environment.**

- a. Improve institutional assessment and evaluation
- b. Integrate planning, evaluation and resource allocation for continuous improvement
- c. Increase research and data driven decision making
- d. Develop an integrated data system

**Strategic Plan 2006 – 2011 adopted Board of Regents September 2006**

**WSI: Institutional Mission and Effectiveness**

- A: Mission - defines the institution’s purposes, student population, and commitment to achieving student learning.
- B. Improving Institutional Effectiveness - The institution demonstrates effort to produce support, measure, evaluate and improve student learning by providing 1) evidence of the achievement of student learning outcomes and 2) evidence of institution and program performance.

**WSII: Student Learning Programs and Services**

- A: Instructional Programs – The institution offers continuously improving instructional programs
- B: Student Support Services – The institution offers continuously improving student support services
- C: Library and Learning Support Services – Library resources are sufficient and accessible by all.

**WSIII: Resources**

- A: Human Resources – The institution employs quality human resources to provide quality services
- B. Physical Resources – The institution operates physical resources (facilities, equipment, land and other assets) to support its needs
- C. Technology Resources – Technology planning integrated with institutional planning and supports learning and services.
- D. Financial Resources – Financial Resources planning integrated with institutional planning and supports learning and services.

**WSIV: Leadership and Governance**

- A. Decision-Making Roles and Processes - The institution recognizes ethical and effective leadership enables the institution to identify institutional values, set and achieve goals, learn, and improve.
- B. Board and Administrative Organization - designated responsibilities of the governing board for setting policies and of the chief administrator for the effective operation of the institution.

**WASC Recommendations to the College of Micronesia - FSM**

**WR 1: Improve Communication** - The college must develop and implement a collaborative process that includes all, identifies roles of members and committees, and use dialogue as means to develop, document, implement and evaluate SLO for instructions and student services.

**WR 2: Improve Communication** - The college must set administrative responsibilities across all sites for continuity of instructional and student services therefore aligning all instructional programs to VPIA, student services to VPSA, and Library services to LRC Director.

**WR 3: Improve Communication** – Systematic evaluation of Recommendation 1 and 2 to facilitate a cycle of continued improvement.

**WR 4: Integrate Planning** - The college must develop and implement college-wide planning that includes all sites and individuals, and integrates planning aspects, data, research, and college plans.

**WR 5: Identify and Assess Student Learning Outcomes** - The college must develop, measure, evaluate, and implement SLO to determine the effectiveness of college programs and services on student learning.

**WR 6: Create Continuity** - The college needs to standardize instruction, student services, learning environment, and quality instruction in areas like policy manuals, comprehensive and consistent student services, adequate facilities especially for Chuuk site.

**WR 7: Diversity Board Membership** - Membership of the college’s Board of Regents must have the diversity of viewpoint that is required by its own policy, national law and accrediting standards

**WR 8: Securing Permanent Site for Chuuk Campus** - The college must make significant progress in securing the Chuuk High School campus as the site for COM-FSM’s permanent Chuuk state campus or, if it unable to within its deadline of 2008, find another acceptable site.

**WR 9: Develop Physical Master Plans** - The college must develop physical master plans, with the appropriate financial plans for each state campus to ensure facilities equity with the national campus, and allow the state campuses to provide instructional and student services that are consistent [with] the strategic and educational master plans of the college.